IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE NASHVILLE DIVISION

EMILY JOHNSON,				
Plaintiff,				
v.	Case No			
MARK LAVACK and URS MIDWEST, INC.,				
Defendants.				
NOTICE OF REMOVAL				

TO THE HONORABLE JUDGES IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE:

Come now, Defendants, URS Midwest, Inc. ("URS") and Mark Lavack ("Mr. Lavack") (collectively "Defendants"), by and through the undersigned counsel and, respectfully aver that:

- 1. On September 13, 2017, Plaintiff Emily Johnson ("Plaintiff") commenced a civil action against Defendants in the Circuit Court of Davidson County, Tennessee, styled *Emily Johnson v. Mark Lavack and URS Midwest, Inc.*; Case No. 17 C 2293. The Summons and Complaint are attached as **Exhibit A**.
 - 2. URS has not been served by Plaintiff with a copy of the Complaint.
- 3. Mr. Lavack received a copy of the Complaint through the Tennessee Secretary of State sometime after September 19, 2017.
- 4. According to the Complaint, this lawsuit arises from an automobile accident which occurred on September 30, 2016, in Davidson County, Tennessee ("the Accident"). See Exhibit A.

- 5. In her Complaint, Plaintiff alleges that as a result of the Accident, she suffered injuries, incurred medical bills, endured physical pain and mental suffering, suffered loss of enjoyment of life and suffered loss wages. See generally Exhibit A.
- 6. In her Complaint, Plaintiff did not specify an exact amount she is seeking as damages. She only stated she is seeking "A judgment for compensatory damages in an amount to be determined by the trier of fact, but in excess of \$25,000." See Exhibit A, "WHEREFORE", ¶1.
- 7. On October 2, 2017, the undersigned counsel sent Plaintiff's counsel a letter seeking clarification regarding the amount of damages Plaintiff is seeking in her Complaint. To date, no response has been received.
- 8. Though Plaintiff seeks an unspecified amount of damages, based on the allegations set forth in the Complaint, Plaintiff has set forth a claim in which the amount in controversy will almost certainly exceed the jurisdictional limit of \$75,000. See Crumley v. Greyhound Lines, Inc.; No. 11-2153, 2011 WL 1897185, at *1 (W.D. Tenn May 18, 2011) ("When the plaintiff seeks an unspecified amount in damages, the defendant satisfies its burden of proving the amount in controversy requirement when it shows that the amount more likely than not exceeds \$75,000."" (citing Pendergrass v. Time Ins. Co.; No. 5:09-cv-00215-R, 1020 WL 989154, at *2 (W. D. Ky. Mar. 12, 2010)).
- 9. At all times material hereto, Plaintiff was and is a resident of the State of Tennessee. See Exhibit A, ¶1.
- 10. At all times material hereto, Defendant Mr. Lavack was and is a resident of the State of Michigan. See Exhibit A, ¶2.

- 11. At all times material hereto, Defendant URS was and is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business in Romulus, Michigan.
- 12. Diversity of citizenship within the meaning of 28 U.S.C. § 1332 exists between Plaintiff and Defendants since:
 - (a) Plaintiff is a citizen and resident of the State of Tennessee;
 - (b) Defendant Mr. Lavack is a resident of the State of Michigan; and
- (c) Defendant URS is a Delaware corporation which is registered to do business in the State of Tennessee.
- 13. Furthermore, diversity of citizenship existed at the time the action sought to be removed was commenced and continues through the time of filing of this notice, such that Defendants are entitled to removal pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1441 and 28 U.S.C. § 1446.

WHEREFORE, Defendants, Mark Lavack and URS Midwest, Inc., pray that the above-captioned action, now pending in the Circuit Court of Davidson County, Tennessee, be removed to this Honorable Court.

Respectfully submitted,

/s Earl W. Houston, II

Earl W. Houston, II, Esq. (TN Bar #024508)
MARTIN, TATE, MORROW & MARSTON, P.C.
6410 Poplar Ave., Tower II, Suite 1000
Memphis, TN 38119-4839
(901) 522-9000 (Phone)
(901) 527-3746 (Fax)
ehouston@martintate.com

Attorneys for Defendants URS Midwest, Inc. and Mark Lavack

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that on October 17, 2017, a true and correct copy of the foregoing Notice was served via U.S. mail, first-class, postage prepaid and via the Court's electronic mail system to:

Burke Keaty, Esq.
Susan Neal Williams, Esq.
Morgan & Morgan-Nashville, PLLC
810 Broadway, suite 105
Nashville, Tennessee 37203
bkeaty@forthepeople.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff Emily Johnson

/s Earl W.	Houston,	II	



Division of Business Services Department of State

State of Tennessee 312 Rosa L. Parks AVE, 6th FL Nashville, TN 37243-1102

LAVACK, MARK 240 W MAIN ST MARQUETTE, MI 49855-9103

09/19/2017

RE: EMILY JOHNSON

VS: MARK LAVACK

Notice of Service

The enclosed process, notice or demand is hereby officially served upon you by the Tennessee Secretary of State pursuant to Tennessee law. Please refer to the process, notice or demand for details concerning the legal matter. If you have any questions, please contact the clerk of the court that issued the process, notice or demand.

The process, notice or demand may have a court date and time that you must appear to defend yourself or the number of days from the date of service by which you are required to file an answer. Failure to appear in court at the time specified or fallure to file an answer in the given time could result in a default judgement being rendered against you for relief sought in the lawsuit.

The Secretary of State's office cannot give you legal advice. If you need legal advice, please consult a private attorney.

Tre Hargett Secretary of State

Enclosures: Original Documents

DOCUMENT INFORMATION

SOS Summons #: 04803845

Case #:

17C2293

Certified #:

70170530000018926083



9066359686

CIRCUIT COURT SUMMO	VS	NASHVILLE, TENNESSE
	STATE OF TENNESSEE DAVIDSON COUNTY 20 TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT	First Alfas Pluries
EMILY JOHNSON		CIVIL ACTION DOCKET NO. 17C2293
Vs.	Plaintiff	Method of Service: Davidson County Sheriff Out of County Sheriff
MARK LAVACK 240 W. MAIN STREET		Secretary of State
MARQUETTE, MI 49855		Certified Mail Personal Service
summons is served upon you. You	Defendant defend a civil action filed against you in the Circuit 19-6303, and your defense must be made within th are further directed to file your defense with the C	Court, 1 Public Square, Room 302, lifty (30) days from the date this lefk of the Court and send a copy to
•	is action by the above date, judgment by default wi	It be rendered against you for the ICHARD R. ROOKER Circuit Court Clerk ravidson County, Tennessee
ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF or	BURKE KEATY (BPR # 027342) 810 BROADWAY, SUITE 105, NASHVILLE, TN	Deputy Clerk
PLAINTIFF'S ADDRESS TO THE SHERIFF:	615-928-9901	
	and make your return hereon as provided by law.	
Received this summons for service this	RI day of, 20	CIrcuit Court Clerk
To reques	t an ADA accommodation, please contact Dart Gore et (6	SHERIFF 15) 880-3309.

9066359686

RETURN ON PERSONAL SERVICE OF SUMMONS

I hereby certify and return that on the	day of		, 20
served this summons ar			
			in the following mani
failed to some this			
railed to serve this summ	nons within 90 days after its is	suance because _	
DETI	Thi Ohi Ownida	Sheriff/Process Se	rver
hamantee a second	RN ON SERVICE OF SUMMO		
	day of	. 20	I sent, postage prepaid by
egistered return receipt malf or certified return to the defendant,			
	I received the painty and the	. On	the day or
, 20 to the		r sald registered or ce	rtified mail, which had been s
celpt is attached to this original summons and	day of	4 1	. 20 Sald ret
DAY OF			'S ATTORNEY OR OTHER PER! TUTE TO SERVE PROCESS
NOTARY PUBLIC orDI	EDITY OF EDV		:
Y COMMISSION EXPIRES:	EPOTT CLERK		
NOTICE	•		
THE DEFENDANT(S):			
Tennessee law provides a ten thousand dolla rsonal properly exemption from execution or seizure ould be entered against you in this action and you with stille a written list, under oath, of the items would wish	to sausty a judgment. If a judgment	st	ATTACH
court. The list may be filed at any time and may be over unless it is filed before the independent	to claim as exempt with the clark of changed by you thereafter as necessi	ary;	RETURN
mot by law and do not need to be listed up.	list. Certain items are automatically		RECEIPT
erel, family portraits, the family Bible, and school bowould have the right to recover them.	oks. Should any of these items be set	uch	HERE (IF APPLICABLE)
are comment of a law	yer.	OW .	
	chard R. Rooker, Clerk of the Circ ereby certify this to be a true and is case.	cult Court in the State correct copy of the or	and County aforesaid, figinal summons issued
be completed only to y certification regulated:)		ROOKER, CLERK	
y confindation requirem.	Ву:	eletu	<u>Q</u>
COURT		-	

٧,

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF DAVIDSON COUNTY, TENNESSEE AT NASHVILLE

EMILY JOHNSON.

2011 SEP 13 , PM 4: 00

Plaintiff,

MARK LAVACK and URS MIDWEST, INC.,

Defendants.

NO. 17C2293

COMPLAINT

Plaintiff states:

- Plaintiff Emily Johnson is a resident and citizen of White Bluff, Dickson County,
 Tennessee,
- 2. Defendant Mark Lavack is a resident and citizen of Marquette, Marquette County, Michigan.
- 3. Defendant URS Midwest Inc., is a Delaware corporation with a registered agent of Corporate Creations Network, Inc., 205 Powell Place, Brentwood, Tennessee, 37027-7522.
- 4. On or about September 30, 2016, Defendant Mark Lavack was operating a tractor-trailer on Interstate 40 in Nashville, Davidson County, Tennessee.
- 5. At the same time, Plaintiff Emily Johnson was operating her sedan on Interstate 40 in front of the tractor-trailer Defendant Mark Lavack was driving.
- 6. Defendant Mark Lavack drove the tractor-trailer into the rear of the Plaintiff's sedan causing a collision.
 - 7. Defendant Mark Layack is at fault for the collision.
 - 8. Defendant Mark Lavack followed Plaintiff's sedan improperly.

- Defendant Mark Lavack did not maintain a proper lookout.
- Defendant Mark Lavack did not pay proper attention.
- 11. Defendant Mark Lavack did not keep the tractor-trailer he was driving under proper control.
- 12. Defendant Mark Lavack did not otherwise use reasonable and due care in the operation of the tractor-trailer he was driving.
- 13. Based on Defendant Mark Lavack's conduct described herein, Defendant Mark Lavack was negligent.
- 14. Defendant Mark Lavack violated Tenn. Code Ann. § 55-8-124 by following improperly Plaintiff Emily Johnson's sedan.
- 15. Defendant Mark Lavack violated Tenn. Code Ann. § 55-8 136 by failing to exercise due care to avoid colliding with Plaintiff Emily Johnson's sedan, by failing to maintain a safe lookout, by failing to keep the tractor-trailer he was driving under proper control, and by failing to devote full time and attention to operating the tractor-trailer, under the existing circumstances to avoid endangering life, limb, or property.
- 16. Based on Defendant Mark Lavack's conduct described herein, Defendant Mark Lavack was negligent *per se*.
- 17. At all times relevant to the matters alleged herein, Defendant Mark Lavack was the agent, or employee, or both, of Defendant URS Midwest, Inc.
- 18. At all times relevant to the matters herein, Defendant Mark Lavack was acting in the course and scope of his agency relationship, or employment, or both with Defendant URS Midwest. Inc.

- 19. Defendant URS Midwest, Inc., is vicariously liable for the acts, omissions, or both, of Defendant Mark Lavack described herein under the doctrine of respondeat superior.
- 20. Plaintiff Emily Johnson has suffered injuries as a direct and proximate result of the collision.
- 21. Plaintiff Emily Johnson has incurred medical bills and other expenses as a direct and proximate result of the collision.
- 22. Plaintiff Emily Johnson has endured physical pain and mental suffering as a direct and proximate result of the collision.
- 23. Plaintiff Emily Johnson has suffered loss of enjoyment of life as a direct and proximate result of the collision.
- 24. Plaintiff Emily Johnson has suffered lost wages as a direct and proximate result of the collision.
- 25. Plaintiff Emily Johnson seeks to recover for all of her damages allowed under Tennessee law.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Emily Johnson prays for the following relief:

- A judgment for compensatory damages for Plaintiff Emily Johnson against Defendants Mark Lavack and URS, Midwest, Inc., jointly and severally, in an amount to be determined by the trier of fact, but in excess of \$25,000;
- 2. An award of all discretionary costs and court costs; and
- 3. Such other and further relief as shall be deemed reasonable and necessary.

Respectfully Submitted,

Burke Keaty (BPR#027342) Susan Neal Williams (BPR#030408)

MORGAN & MORGAN - NASHVILLE, PLLC

810 Broadway, Suite 105

Nashville, Tennessee 37203

Phone: (615) 928-9901 bkeaty@forthepeople.com Counsel for Emily Johnson